



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,021	12/13/2001	Yukiyasu Fukami	NAKI-BQ58	2418
21611	7590	09/26/2005	EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER LLP 600 ANTON BOULEVARD SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA, CA 92626			GELAGAY, SHEWAYE	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				2133

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/023,021	FUKAMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shewaye Gelagay	2133

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on June 27, 2005. Claims 1, 12, 15, 17 and 22-34 have been amended. Claims 1-34 are pending.

### ***Oath/Declaration***

2. The objection to the Oath in the first office action is withdrawn.

### ***Priority***

3. The objection the Priority in the first office action is withdrawn.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

4. The rejection of claims 22-29 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being non-statutory subject matter is withdrawn.

### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the arguments concerning the previously rejected claims, the following comments are made:

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., The extraction of the descrambling key can be executed in a short time and at low load, which improves the performance of particular reproduction processes, such as fast

forward speed, to a sufficient level. The performance level of the particular reproduction modes (especially fast-forward speed) of the scrambled content in storage is improved. Identify a necessary descrambling key swiftly even when the descrambling keys are required in an extraordinary order.) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1, 12, 15, 17 and 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims contain subject matter "key specifying information for identifying a descrambling key corresponding to the predetermined unit of scrambled content". The Applicant did not define the "key specifying information for identifying a descrambling key" distinctly in the claim or in the specification. The term "key specifying information for identifying a descrambling key" has to be explicitly defined so that there would not be any ambiguity. For examining purpose "key specifying information for identifying a descrambling key" is interpreted as information to identify the descrambling key. Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1-3, 11-12, 15, 17-19, 21-29 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose United States Letter Patent Number 5,917,915 in view of Akiyama et al (hereinafter Akiyama) United States Letter Patent Number 6,463,155.

As per claims 1, 22, 26 and 31:

Hirose teaches a method, a program, a recording medium and a reception apparatus which receives and reproduces scrambled content, comprising:

reception means for receiving the scrambled content, wherein the scrambled content is scrambled so that a predetermined unit of scrambled content, which is a portion of the scrambled content, is descrambled using a descrambling key corresponding to the predetermined unit of scrambled content, and at least one piece of storage information in which a list including all descrambling keys to be used for descrambling the scrambled content is embedded; (Col. 3, lines 2-14)

storage means for storing the received scrambled content and the storage information; (Col. 3, lines 34-38)

list extraction means for extracting the list from the stored storage information; (Col. 3, line 39; *read means is interpreted as list extraction means; the interpretation is given based on the similarity of the functionality of the read means and the list extraction means*)

descramble processing means for (a) extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from the stored scrambled content, (Col. 10, lines 17-26) either sequentially if in a normal reproduction mode or in an order different from the normal reproduction mode if in a particular mode. (Col. 3, lines 52-61) (b) extracting a descrambling key corresponding to the predetermined unit of scrambled content from the extracted list, (Col. 3, lines 40-43) and (c) descrambling the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content using the extracted descrambling key; and reproduction means for reproducing the predetermined unit of descrambled content in the descrambled order. (Col. 3, lines 43-45)

Hirose does not explicitly disclose key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key.

Akiyama in analogous art, however, discloses key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. (Col. 28, lines 5-8 and lines 29-33; Col. 30, lines 40-43)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose to include key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Akiyama (Abstract) in order to allow conditional access while maintaining the safety level.

As per claim 2:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a reception apparatus wherein

the reception means receives one piece of storage information in which the list is embedded, (Col. 3, lines 2-14)

the storage means stores the received scrambled content and the one piece of storage information, (Col. 10, lines 17-26) and

the list extraction means extracts the list from the stored one piece of storage information. (Col. 3, lines 39-43)

As per claim 3:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a reception apparatus wherein

the reception means receives a plurality of pieces of storage information in each piece of which a divided portion of the list is embedded, (Figure 6, item 8)

the storage means stores the received scrambled content and the plurality of pieces of storage information, (Col. 10, lines 17-26) and

the list extraction means extracts the list from the stored plurality of pieces of storage information. (Col. 3, lines 39-43)

As per claim 11:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a reception apparatus managing contract information and consisting of a security module whose portion does not effectively function if a contract has not been made, and other modules, the reception apparatus further comprising:

list holding means for holding the list extracted by the list extraction means, (Figure 11, item 101 and 111)

wherein the list extraction means and the list holding means are provided within the security module. (Figure 11, item 101 and 111)

As per claims 12, 23, 27 and 32:

Hirose teaches a method, a program, a recording medium and a reception apparatus which receives and reproduces scrambled content, comprising:

reception means for receiving the scrambled content, wherein the scrambled content is scrambled so that a predetermined unit of scrambled content, which is a portion of the scrambled content, is descrambled using a descrambling key

corresponding to the predetermined unit of scrambled content, and a descrambling key is attached to each predetermined unit of scrambled content; (Col. 3, lines 2-14)

storage means for storing the received scrambled content; (Col. 3, lines 34-38)

list generation means for, when/after storing the received scrambled content by the storage means, generating a list including all descrambling keys to be used for descrambling the scrambled content, based on the descrambling key attached to each predetermined unit of scrambled content; (Col. 3, line 39)

descramble processing means for (a) extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from the stored scrambled content, (Col. 10, lines 17-26) either sequentially if in a normal reproduction mode or in an order different from the normal reproduction mode if in a particular mode. (Col. 3, lines 52-61) (b) extracting a descrambling key corresponding to the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content from the generated list, (Col. 3, lines 40-43) and (c) descrambling the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content using the extracted descrambling key; (Col. 43-45) and

reproduction means for reproducing the predetermined unit of descrambled content in the descrambled order. (Col. 7, lines 66-67 and Col. 8, lines 1-6)

Hirose does not explicitly disclose key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key.

Akiyama in analogous art, however, discloses key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. (Col. 28, lines 5-8 and lines 29-33; Col. 30, lines 40-43)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose to include key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Akiyama (Abstract) in order to allow conditional access while maintaining the safety level.

As per claims 15, 24, 28, and 33:

Hirose teaches a method, a program, a recording medium and a broadcast apparatus which scrambles content and broadcasts the scrambled content to a reception apparatus, the broadcast apparatus comprising:

acquisition means for acquiring content to be scrambled and a plurality of descrambling keys; (Col. 2, lines 50-57; Col. 5, lines 14-17 and lines 39-40)  
scramble processing means for scrambling a predetermined unit of content out of the acquired content so that the predetermined unit of scrambled content is descrambled using a descrambling key different for each predetermined unit or each set of a plurality of predetermined units; (Col. 2, lines 61-67; Col. 5, lines 19-23)

attaching means for attaching auxiliary information to the predetermined unit of scrambled content, the auxiliary information consisting of (a) information for identifying the scrambled content (Col. 5, line 67) and (b) a descrambling key corresponding to the content, and used for having the reception apparatus generate a list of the descrambling keys; (Col. 5, lines 65-66) and

broadcast means for broadcasting the scrambled content to which the auxiliary information is added. (Figure 1, item 4; Col. 2, lines 23-24)

Hirose does not explicitly disclose key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key.

Akiyama in analogous art, however, discloses key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. (Col. 28, lines 5-8 and lines 29-33; Col. 30, lines 40-43)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose to include key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Akiyama (Abstract) in order to allow conditional access while maintaining the safety level.

As per claims 17, 25, 29 and 34:

Hirose teaches a method, a program, a recording medium and a broadcast apparatus which scrambles content and broadcasts the scrambled content to a reception apparatus, the broadcast apparatus comprising:

acquisition means for acquiring content to be scrambled and a plurality of descrambling keys; (Col. 2, lines 50-57; Col. 5, lines 14-17 and lines 39-40)

list generation means for generating a list of the descrambling keys; ((Col. 5, lines 18-19)

embedding means for embedding the list in at least one piece of predetermined information to generate at least one piece of storage information; (Col. 5, lines 20-23)

scramble processing means for scrambling a predetermined unit of content out of the acquired content so that the predetermined unit of scrambled content is descrambled using a descrambling key different for each predetermined unit or each set of a plurality of predetermined units; (Col. 2, lines 61-67; Col. 5, lines 19-23) and broadcast means for broadcasting the generated storage information and the scrambled content. (Figure 1, item 4; Col. 2, lines 23-24)

Hirose does not explicitly disclose key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key.

Akiyama in analogous art, however, discloses key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. (Col. 28, lines 5-8 and lines 29-33; Col. 30, lines 40-43)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose to include key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Akiyama (Abstract) in order to allow conditional access while maintaining the safety level.

As per claim 18:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a broadcast apparatus wherein the embedding means embeds the list in one piece of predetermined information to generate one piece of storage information, and the broadcasting means broadcasts the generated one piece of information and the scrambled content. (Col. 5, lines 20-34 and lines 43-46)

As per claim 19:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a broadcast apparatus wherein the embedding means embeds a divided portion of the list in each of a plurality of pieces of predetermined information to generate a plurality of pieces of storage information, and the broadcasting means broadcasts the generated plurality of pieces of storage information and the scrambled content. (Col. 5, lines 20-34 and lines 43-46)

As per claim 21:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a broadcast apparatus wherein the broadcast means broadcasts one set of the storage information while all the scrambled content corresponding to the storage information are broadcast once. (Col. 14, lines 65-67 and Col. 15, lines 1-5)

9. Claims 4, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose United States Letter Patent Number 5,917,915 in view of Akiyama et al (hereinafter Akiyama) United States Letter Patent Number 6,463,155 further in view of Morinaga et al. United States Letter Patent Number 6,792,000.

As per claim 4:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a reception apparatus wherein

the reception means sequentially receives a transport stream (TS) packet including the predetermined unit of scrambled content, (Col. 3, lines 2-14, Hirose)

the storage means sequentially stores the received TS packet, (Col. 3, lines 34-38, Hirose) wherein the descramble processing means includes:

scrambled content extraction means for extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means, and counting the ordinal position of the TS packet from the leading TS packet; (Col. 10, lines 43-45, Hirose)

descrambling key extraction means for extracting a descrambling key from the list, based on the counted ordinal position; (Col. 3, lines 40-43, Hirose) and

descrambling means for descrambling the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content using the extracted descrambling key. (Col. 10, lines 43-45, Hirose)

Both references do not explicitly disclose sequentially receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet; and extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means, and counting the ordinal position of the TS packet from the leading TS packet.

Morinaga et al. in analogous art, however, disclose receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet; (Col. 4, lines 13-31, Morinaga) and extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means, and counting the ordinal position of the TS packet from the leading TS packet. (Col. 1, lines 39-57, Morinaga)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose and Akiyama to include sequentially receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet; and extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means, and counting the ordinal position of the TS packet from the leading TS packet. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Morinaga et al. (Col. 1, lines 12-13) in order to have a system capable of simultaneous recoding and reproducing of broadcast program.

As per claim 8:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition Hirose further discloses a reception apparatus wherein

the reception means sequentially receives a TS packet including (a) the predetermined unit of scrambled content (Col. 3, lines 2-14, Hirose) and  
the storage means sequentially stores the received TS packet (Col. 3, lines 34-38, Hirose), wherein

the descramble processing means includes:  
scrambled content extraction means for extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content and the packet specifying information from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means; (Col. 10, lines 43-45, Hirose)

descrambling key extraction means for extracting a descrambling key from the list, based on the extracted packet specifying information; (Col. 3, lines 40-43, Hirose) and

descrambling means for descrambling the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content using the extracted descrambling key. (Col. 10, lines 43-45, Hirose)

Both references do not explicitly disclose a packet specifying information for specifying an unscrambled TS packet; sequentially receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet; and extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means, and counting the ordinal position of the TS packet from the leading TS packet.

Morinaga et al. in analogous art, however, disclose a packet specifying information for specifying an unscrambled TS packet; (Col. 6, lines 19-33, Morinaga) receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet; (Col. 4, lines 13-31, Morinaga) and extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means, and counting the ordinal position of the TS packet from the leading TS packet. (Col. 1, lines 39-57, Morinaga)

The rational for combining the above references is the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 13:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a reception apparatus wherein the reception means for receiving (a) the predetermined unit of scrambled content, and (b) auxiliary information

including a descrambling key and information for associating the descrambling key with scrambled content, (Col. 3, lines 2-14, Hirose)

the storage means sequentially stores the received TS packet, (Col. 3, lines 34-38, Hirose) and

the list generation means generates the list, based on the auxiliary information. (Col. 3, line 39)

Both references do not explicitly disclose sequentially receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet.

Morinaga et al. in analogous art, however, disclose receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet. (Col. 4, lines 13-31, Morinaga)

The rationale for combining the above references is the same as claim 4 above.

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose United States Letter Patent Number 5,917,915 in view of Akiyama et al (hereinafter Akiyama) United States Letter Patent Number 6,463,155 in view of Morinaga et al. United States Letter Patent Number 6,792,000 and further in view of Kahn et al. United States Letter Patent Number 6,853,728.

As per claim 9:

Hirose, Akiyama and Morinaga et al. teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further disclose a reception apparatus wherein the descrambling key extraction means performs a predetermined operation to the extracted information as the packet identifying information to generate a

descrambling key identifier, and extracts a descrambling key from the list based on the descrambling key identifier. (Col. 3, lines 40-43 and Col. 10, lines 43-45, Hirose)

In addition Morinaga et al. further disclose receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet; (Col. 4, lines 13-31, Morinaga) and extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means, and counting the ordinal position of the TS packet from the leading TS packet. (Col. 1, lines 39-57, Morinaga)

Neither of the references explicitly disclose the packet specifying information is one of Continuity Counter (CC), the number of TS packets, a cumulative amount of data, a relative reproduction time, and a scrambling key identifier,

the scrambled content extraction means extracts, as the packet specifying information, one of the Continuity Counter (CC), the number of TS packets, the cumulative amount of data, the relative reproduction time, and the scrambling key identifier,

Kahn et al. in analogous art, however, discloses the packet specifying information is one of Continuity Counter (CC), the number of TS packets, a cumulative amount of data, a relative reproduction time, and a scrambling key identifier, (Col. 6, lines 30-46, Kahn)

the scrambled content extraction means extracts, as the packet specifying information, one of the Continuity Counter (CC), the number of TS packets, the

cumulative amount of data, the relative reproduction time, and the scrambling key identifier, (Col. 6, lines 30-46)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose, Akiyama and Morinaga et al. to include the packet specifying information is one of Continuity Counter (CC), the number of TS packets, a cumulative amount of data, a relative reproduction time, and a scrambling key identifier; and the scrambled content extraction means extracts, as the packet specifying information, one of the Continuity Counter (CC), the number of TS packets, the cumulative amount of data, the relative reproduction time, and the scrambling key identifier. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Kahn et al. (Col. 6, lines 26-27) in order to resemble the packets to regenerate the program material.

11. Claims 5-7, 14, 16, 20 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose United States Letter Patent Number 5,917,915 in view of Akiyama et al (hereinafter Akiyama) United States Letter Patent Number 6,463,155 in view of Morinaga et al. United States Letter Patent Number 6,792,000 and further in view of Sato United States Letter Patent Number 6,219,422.

As per claim 5:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as disclosed above. Both references do not explicitly disclose a reception apparatus wherein the reception means receives at least one storage Entitlement Control Message (ECM) as the at least one

piece of storage information, the list being embedded in a portion to be encoded in the main body of the ECM, the storage means stores the received storage ECMs, and the list extraction means interprets the stored storage ECMs to extract the list.

Sato in analogous art, however, discloses a reception means receives at least one storage Entitlement Control Message (ECM) as the at least one piece of storage information, the list being embedded in a portion to be encoded in the main body of the ECM, the storage means stores the received storage ECMs, and the list extraction means interprets the stored storage ECMs to extract the list. (Col. 8, lines 17-27, Sato)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose and Akiyama to include a reception means receives at least one storage Entitlement Control Message (ECM) as the at least one piece of storage information, the list being embedded in a portion to be encoded in the main body of the ECM, the storage means stores the received storage ECMs, and the list extraction means interprets the stored storage ECMs to extract the list. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Sato (Col. 4, lines 39-42) in order to decrypt the information data which was selectively reproduced, so that deterioration of the reliability of the conditional access can be prevented.

As per claim 6:

Hirose, Akiyama and Sato teach all the subject matter as disclosed above. In addition, Sato further discloses a reception apparatus wherein the reception means

receives the storage ECMs including identifying information for distinguishing the storage ECMs from another type of ECM. (Col. 8, lines 23-27, Sato)

As per claim 7:

Hirose, Akiyama and Sato teach all the subject matter as disclosed above. In addition, Sato further discloses a reception apparatus wherein the reception means receives the storage ECMs at a time. (Col. 7, lines 30-37, Sato)

As per claim 14:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as disclosed above. Both references do not explicitly disclose a reception apparatus wherein the TS packet includes an ECM, the auxiliary information being embedded in a portion to be encoded in a main body of the ECM, and the list generation means extracts the auxiliary information embedded in the ECM, and generates the list based on the auxiliary information.

Sato in analogous art, however, disclose a reception apparatus wherein the TS packet includes an ECM, the auxiliary information being embedded in a portion to be encoded in a main body of the ECM, and the list generation means extracts the auxiliary information embedded in the ECM, and generates the list based on the auxiliary information. (Col. 8, lines 17-27, Sato)

The rationale for combining the above references is the same as claim 5 above.

As per claim 16:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as disclosed above. Both references do not explicitly disclose a broadcast apparatus wherein the attaching

means embeds the auxiliary information in a portion to be encoded in a main body of an ECM and attaches the ECM to the predetermined unit of scrambled content.

Sato in analogous art, however, disclose a broadcast apparatus wherein the attaching means embeds the auxiliary information in a portion to be encoded in a main body of an ECM and attaches the ECM to the predetermined unit of scrambled content.  
(Col. 7, lines 1-3, Sato)

The rationale for combining the above references is the same as claim 5 above.

As per claim 20:

Hirose and Akiyama teach all the subject matter as disclosed above. Both references do not explicitly disclose a broadcast apparatus wherein the embedding means embeds the list in a portion to be encoded in a main body of at least one ECM to generate at least one piece of storage information.

Sato in analogous art, however, disclose a broadcast apparatus wherein the embedding means embeds the list in a portion to be encoded in a main body of at least one ECM to generate at least one piece of storage information. (Col. 7, lines 1-3, Sato)

The rationale for combining the above references is the same as claim 5 above.

As per claim 30:

Hirose teach a computer-readable recording medium on which content to be broadcast to a reception apparatus is recorded, wherein the reception apparatus receives and stores scrambled content, and descrambles and reproduces the stored scrambled content, the content comprising:

scrambled content which is scrambled so that a predetermined unit of scrambled content, which is a portion of the scrambled content, is descrambled using a descrambling key corresponding to the predetermined unit of content, (Col. 2, lines 61-67; Col. 5, lines 19-23, Hirose) and

Hirose does not explicitly disclose a storage ECM, wherein a list including all descrambling keys used for descrambling the scrambled content is embedded in a portion to be encoded in a main body of at least one ECM; and key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key.

Sato in analogous art, however, disclose a storage ECM, wherein a list including all descrambling keys used for descrambling the scrambled content is embedded in a portion to be encoded in a main body of at least one ECM. (Col. 7, lines 1-3, Sato)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose and Akiyama to include a reception means receives at least one storage Entitlement Control Message (ECM) as the at least one piece of storage information, the list being embedded in a portion to be encoded in the main body of the ECM, the storage means stores the received storage ECMS, and the list extraction means interprets the stored storage ECMS to extract the list. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Sato (Col. 4, lines 39-42) in order to decrypt the information data which was selectively reproduced, so that deterioration of the reliability of the conditional access can be prevented.

Both references do not explicitly disclose key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key.

Akiyama in analogous art, however, discloses key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. (Col. 28, lines 5-8 and lines 29-33; Col. 30, lines 40-43)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose and Sato to include key specifying information for specifying a descrambling key. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Akiyama (Abstract) in order to allow conditional access while maintaining the safety level.

12. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose United States Letter Patent Number 5,917,915 in view of Akiyama et al (hereinafter Akiyama) United States Letter Patent Number 6,463,155 in view of Morinaga et al. United States Letter Patent Number 6,792,000 and further in view of Ando et al. United States Publication No. 2003/0133699.

As per claim 10:

Hirose, Akiyama and Morinaga et al. teach all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Hirose further discloses a reception apparatus wherein the reception means receives (a) the predetermined unit of scrambled content (Col. 3, lines 2-14, Hirose) and

the storage means sequentially stores the received content, (Col. 3, lines 34-38, Hirose) wherein

the descramble processing means includes:

scrambled content extraction means for, when performing particular reproduction processes, extracting the predetermined unit of scrambled content and I picture information from one of the TS packets stored in the storage means; (Col. 10, lines 43-45, Hirose)

descrambling key extraction means for extracting a descrambling key from the list, only when the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content consists of a portion of an I picture/an I picture; (Col. 3, lines 40-43, Hirose) and

descrambling means for descrambling the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content using the extracted descrambling key. (Col. 10, lines 43-45)

In addition Morinaga et al. further disclose receiving and storing a transport stream packet (TS) packet. (Col. 4, lines 13-31, Morinaga)

Neither of the references explicitly disclose unscrambled I picture information, wherein the I picture information indicates whether the TS packet corresponding to the information consists of a portion of an I picture/an I picture or not; and I picture judgment means for judging whether the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content consists of a portion of an I picture/an I picture or not, based on the extracted I picture information.

Ando et al. in analogous art, however, discloses a system to manage digital TV broadcast data that uses a signal or radio wave that is segmented into a plurality of sets of TS packets to locate an I-picture at the head of each set. The I-picture is always

located at the head, the I-picture address need not be described, and only the I-picture end address can be described. (Page 7, paragraphs 157 and 159; Figure 11)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hirose, Akiyama and Morinaga et al. to include unscrambled I picture information, wherein the I picture information indicates whether the TS packet corresponding to the information consists of a portion of an I picture/an I picture or not; and I picture judgment means for judging whether the extracted predetermined unit of scrambled content consists of a portion of an I picture/an I picture or not, based on the extracted I picture information. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by, Ando et al. (Page 1, paragraph 21) in order to provide a system that can efficiently record a transport packet in a streamer which uses media capable of random access.

### ***Conclusion***

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shewaye Gelagay whose telephone number is 571-272-4219. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decayd can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shewaye Gelagay  
9/7/05



ALBERT DECADY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100